

ALTERNATIVE NEWS

A Rushed COVID-19 Vaccine “Could Actually Worsen the Covid-19 Pandemic” – Scientists



Published 3 days ago on September 9, 2020

By **Arjun Walia**





The Facts: A new study outlines how a rushed covid vaccine could make the pandemic worse.


Reflect On: Do all of our vaccines go through appropriate safety testing? Is it a liability free product? Why are so many concerns being raised by many scientists completely ignored by mainstream media, but a rushed coronavirus vaccine isn't?

What Happened: A paper recently published in [The Lancet](#) titled "Covid-19 trials should seek worthwhile efficacy" states the following:

Deployment of a weakly effective vaccine could actually worsen the COVID-19 pandemic if authorities wrongly assume it causes a substantial reduction in risk, or if vaccinated individuals wrongly believe they are immune, hence reducing implementation of, or compliance with, other COVID-19 control measures. Deployment of a marginally effective vaccine could also interfere with the evaluation of other vaccines, as subsequent vaccines would then have to be compared with it rather than with a placebo.

--> Help Support CE: Become a member of CETV and get access to exclusive news and courses to help empower you to become an effective changemaker. Also, help us beat censorship! [Click here to join.](#)

From the Web



23 Awkward Wedding Photos That Will Make You Laugh

HealthCrash

▲ advertisement - [learn more](#)

The paper also points out that "There is a danger that political and economic pressures for rapid introduction of a COVID-19 vaccine could lead to wick

deployment of a vaccine that is in reality only weakly effective (eg, reducing 19 incidence by only 10–20%), perhaps because of a misleadingly promising result from an underpowered trial.”

Why This Is Important: The race for a coronavirus vaccine has highlighted how medical science has become extremely politicized. Dr. Marcia Angell, a physician and longtime Editor-in-Chief of the *New England Medical Journal* (NEMJ), which is considered one of the most prestigious peer-reviewed medical journals in the world, alongside *The Lancet*, stated the following for that precise reason:

“It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine.” ([source](#))

The corruption is never-ending when it comes to the link between corporate interests, politics and government agencies. In fact, only a few years ago, more than 100 scientists from within the CDC put out an anonymous public statement detailing the influence corporations have on government policies. They were referred to as the [Spider Papers](#).

From the Web



Tetbury Millionaire Reveals How To Get Rich with Bitcoin, Without Buying B

DailyProfit

Ad

▲ advertisement - [learn more](#)

We are a group of scientists at CDC that are very concerned about the current state of ethics at our agency. It appears that our mission is being influenced and shaped by outside parties and rogue interests. It seems that our mission and Congressional intent for our agency is being circumvented by some of our leaders. What concerns us most, is that this is becoming the norm and not the rare exception. Some of our senior management officials at CDC are clearly aware

even condone these behaviours. Others see it and turn the other way. Some staff are intimidated and pressed to do things they know are not right. We have representatives from across the agency that witness this unacceptable behaviour. It occurs at all levels and in all of our respective units. These questionable and unethical practices threaten to undermine credibility and reputation as a trusted leader in public health. We would like to see high ethical standards and thoughtful, responsible management restored at CDC. We are asking that you do your part to help clean up this house!

[Mainstream media](#) outlets are picking up on the desire for a rushed vaccine blaming Donald Trump. But this isn't because of the dangers of a rushed vaccine, it's simply to make the president look bad. That's politics, two different political entities could be pushing for the same thing, but how it's portrayed and beamed in the minds of the masses via mainstream media may be different depending on their political/corporate affiliations.

[Here's](#) an article from the New York Times regarding Gerald Ford and his rush to a vaccine. CNN [recently published](#) an article titled "Past vaccine disasters show rushing a coronavirus vaccine now would be 'colossally stupid.'"

To paint vaccines in any type of harmful light is something we don't really see in mainstream media. Perhaps there are going to be multiple vaccines here? One is rushed and demonized by mainstream media, and another to follow that is praised, both most likely being colossal money makers.

But the question, are vaccines safe and effective in general?

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury (NCVIA) has already paid out approximately \$4 billion to compensate families of vaccine injured children. As astronomical monetary awards are, they're even more alarming considering HHS claims an [estimated 1% of vaccine injuries are even reported](#) to the [Vaccine Adverse Reporting System](#) (VAERS). If the numbers from VAERS and HHS are correct, only 1% of vaccine injuries are reported and only 1/3 of the petitions are compensated, then up to 99% of vaccine injuries go unreported.

Preliminary data was collected from June 2006 through October 2009 on 1.4 million patients, and 1.4 million doses (of 45 different vaccines) were given to individuals. Of these doses, 35,570 possible reactions (2.6 percent of vaccines) were identified. This is an average of 890 possible events, an average of 1 per clinician, per month. This data was presented at the 2009 AMIA conference ([source](#))

This completely contradicts the CDC's claim that 1/1,000,000 people are harmed from vaccines.

Recent Articles Published Regarding Vaccine Efficacy In General:

[Landmark FDA Paper On Aluminum Safety In Vaccines](#)

[RFK Jr. & Children's Health Defense Sue University of California For Making Vaccines Mandatory](#)

[Biochemical Engineer Drops Bombshell Facts About Measles & The MMR Vaccine In Washington](#)

[US Gov & Yale Hold Clinical Trials To Test "Persuasive Messages For COVID-19 Vaccine Uptake"](#)

[81% of Clinical Trial Volunteers Suffer Reactions to CanSino Biologics' COVID-19 Vaccine](#)

Recent Coronavirus Article: [We Have a Lot of Evidence That It's a Fake Story Over The World – German Doctors](#)

The Takeaway: When it comes to vaccine safety, why does mainstream media constantly point fingers and call those who have concerns "anti-vax conspiracy theorists?" Why don't they ever address the science and concerns being raised about vaccines in a light that they've never been painted in? What's going on? Why are the safety concerns addressed by many scientists and doctors ignored and never addressed/countered? Would more rigorous safety testing of vaccines not be in the best interests of everybody? Who would ever oppose and why?
