Hydroxychloroquine How a False Narrative Was Created

An analysis of what has happened. When enough dots join up, across the board, the evidence is compelling!

28 June 2020

Below, Dr Meryl Nass reviews a long list of corrupt practices that undermine the integrity of medical science and the practice of medicine during the current medical crisis.

Dr Nass is a long-time member of the AHRP Board of Directors - Alliance for Human Research Protection.

The coronavirus crisis has been made significantly worse by stakeholders who are preventing doctors from prescribing for their patients, existing, safe and effective medicines, because the stakeholders are invested on garnering projected future profits from not-yet-developed vaccines and "countermeasures" specifically developed against COVID-19.

The stakeholders who influence and issue medical practice guidelines, include public health officials, global public health institutions, government advisory committees, and clinical trialists who design trials to provide commercially beneficial results. Editors of prestigious high impact, medical journals contribute to the corruption of medicine by publishing fraudulent studies, and reports of clinical trials that were designed to cause foreseeable deaths. The focus of Dr Nass' J'Accuse post is clinical trials that deliberately subjected some patients to toxic doses of Hydroxychloroquine.

These collaborators engaged in an orchestrated effort to prevent physicians from utilizing an existing, off-patent, cheap and affordable drug, that thousands of clinicians attest to its therapeutic benefit. The problem with Hydroxychloroquine, a drug with a 70-year safety track record, is that there is no profit to be made from this cheap, off-patent drug!

It is remarkable that a series of events taking place over the past 3 months produced a unified message about hydroxychloroquine, and produced similar policies about the drug in the US, Canada, Australia, NZ and western Europe. The message is that generic, inexpensive hydroxychloroquine

(https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/04/would-be- coronavirus-drugs-are-cheap-make)

is dangerous and should not be used to treat a potentially fatal disease, COVID-19, for which there are no (other) reliable treatments.

Hydroxychloroquine had been used safely for 65 years on millions of patients. And so, the message was crafted that the drug is safe for its other uses, but dangerous when used for COVID-19.

(hydroxychloroguine-over-safety-concerns-n1214341).

It doesn't make sense, but the their message seems to have worked. Were these acts carefully orchestrated? You decide.

Might these events have been planned to keep the pandemic going? To sell expensive drugs and vaccines to a captive population? Could these acts result in prolonged economic and social hardship, eventually transferring wealth from the middle class to the very rich? Are these events evidence of a conspiracy - to commit a crime against humanity?

Here is a list of what happened, in no special order. Please help add to this list if you know of additional acts I should include. This will be a living document. I have penned this as if it is the "to do" list of items to be carried out by those who pull the strings. The items on the list have already been carried out. One wonders what else might be on their list, yet to be carried out, for this pandemic.

1. DRUG USE PREVENTION

You stop doctors from using the drug in ways it is most likely to be effective (in outpatients at onset of illness).

You prohibit use outside of situations you can control.
Situations that were controlled to show no benefit included 3 large, randomized, multi-center clinical trials – such as the Recovery Trial, (https://www.recoverytrial.net/files/recovery-protocol-v6-0-2020-05-14.pdf),

Solidarity Trial

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments)

and

(https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5cde3c7d9a69340001d79ffe/t/5e a3fd83f222897b8d528195/1587805583231/REMAP-CAP+-+COVID-19+Antiviral+Domain-Specific+Appendix+V2.0+-+01+April+2020_WM.pdf)
),

which are generally believed to yield the most reliable evidence.

However, each of them used excessive doses that were known to be toxic; see my previous articles here:

(http://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/06/who-trial-using-potentially-fatal.html)

and here

(http://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/06/even-worse-than-recovery-potentially.html).

2. PREVENT THE DRUG USE IN OUT-PATIENT DEPARTMENTS

You prevent or limit use in outpatients by controlling the supply of the drug, using different methods in different countries and states (https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2020-04/board-of-pharmacy-covid-19-prescribing.pdf).

In New York state, by order of the governor

(https://www.governor.ny.gov/news/no-20210-continuing-temporary-suspension-and-modification-laws-relating-disaster-emergency), hydroxychloroquine could only be prescribed for hospitalized patients.

France has issued a series of different regulations to limit prescribers from using it. France also changed the drug's status from over-the-counter to a drug requiring a prescription.

3. EMPHASISE NON-EXISTANT SIDE EFFECTS

You play up the danger of the drug, emphasizing side effects that are very rare when the drug is used correctly.

You make sure everyone has heard about the man who died after consuming hydroxychloroguine in the form of fish tank cleaner.

4.

LIMIT TRIALS TO PATIENTS IN ADVANCED STAGES OF INFECTION

You limit clinical trials to hospitalized patients, instead of testing the drug in outpatients, early in the illness, when it is predicted to be most effective

(https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/05/it-is-medically-illogical-to-wait-until.html).

5.

GIVE DRUG TREATMENT DOSES WELL ABOVE SAFE LEVELS

You design clinical trials to give much too high a dose (https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/06/who-trial-using-potentially-fatal.html),

ensuring the drug will cause harm in some subjects, sufficient to mask any possible beneficial effect.

You make sure that dozens of trials in dozens of countries around the world

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments) use these dangerous doses.

6.

LIMIT TRIAL DATA TO COVER UP TRUE CAUSES OF DEATH

You design clinical trials to collect almost no safety data (https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/06/who-trial-using-potentially-fatal.html),

so, any cause of death due to drug toxicity will be attributed to the disease instead of the drug.

7.

ISSUE RULES ON DRUG USE TO SKEW RESULTS

You issue rules for use of the drug based on the results (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/jun/05/hydroxychloroquine-does-not-cure-covid-19-say-drug-trial-chiefs) of the unethical, overdosing Recovery study.

8.

PUBLISH FALSE ACCOUNT OF STUDY

You publish, in the world's most-read medical journal, the Lancet, an observational study

(https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)31180-6/fulltext)

from a huge worldwide database that says use of chloroquine drugs caused significantly increased mortality. You make sure that all major media report on this result.

Then 3 European countries announce they will not allow doctors to prescribe the drug

(https://www.reuters.com/article/health-coronavirus-hydroxychloroquine-fr/update-1-france-italy-belgium-act-to-stop-use-of-hydroxychloroquine-for-covid-19-on-safety-fears-idUKL1N2D911J).

And Sanofi announces

(hydroxychloroquine-trials-idUSKBN2352IH)

it will no longer supply the drug for use with COVID, and will halt its own clinical trials, based on a fabricated study.

9.

EXTRACT MAXIMUM POSSIBLE BENEFIT FROM FALSE ACCOUNTS

Even after hundreds of people renounce this observational study due to easily identified fabrications—which, as James Todaro, MD, wrote was a "study out of thin air"

(https://www.medicineuncensored.com/a-study-out-of-thin-air)

the Lancet held firm for two weeks, serving to muddy the waters about the trial, until finally 3 of its 4 co-authors (but not the journal) retracted the study

(https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)31324-6/fulltext).

You make sure few media report that the data were fabricated (https://www.nytimes.com/2020/06/14/health/virus-journals.html) and the "study" a fraud. You let people believe the original story: that hydroxychloroquine routinely kills.

10.

ENTICE MAJOR AGENCIES TO FOLLOW A DETERMINED AGENDA

You ensure federal agencies like FDA and CDC hew to your desired policies.

For example, FDA advised use only in hospitalized patients

(https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or)

(too late) or in clinical trials

(https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or)

(which are limited, are difficult to enroll in, OR, use excessive doses).

As of mid-June, FDA now advises patients and doctors to only use the drug in a clinical trial!

Another example: You have FDA make unsubstantiated and false claims, such as: "Hospitalized patients were likely to have greater prospect of benefit (compared to ambulatory patients with mild illness)" (https://www.fda.gov/media/138945/download) and claim the chloroquine drugs have a slow onset of action. (https://www.fda.gov/media/138945/download).

If that were really true, they would not be used for acute attacks of malaria or in critically ill patients with COVID.

(Disclosure: I once dosed myself with chloroquine for an acute attack of P. vivax malaria, and it worked very fast.).

Providing no other treatment advice, CDC refers clinicians to the NIH guidelines, discussed below.

(https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/therapeutic-options.html)

11.

VOID PARTICULAR TRAILS EXPECTED TO RETURN GOOD RESULTS

You make sure to avoid funding/encouraging clinical trials that test drug combinations like hydroxychloroquine with zinc, with azithromycin, or with both, although there is ample clinical evidence that such combinations provide a cumulative benefit to patients.

12.

PEDLAR FALSE CLAIMS ON MODELS RATHER THAN ACTUAL DATA

You have federal and UN agencies make false, illogical claims based on models rather than human data.

For example, you have the FDA state on June 15 that the dose required to treat Covid is so high it is toxic, after the Recovery

(https://www.recoverytrial.net/files/recovery-protocol-v6-0-2020-05-14.pdf)

and Solidarity

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinicaltrial-for-covid-19-treatments)

trials have been exposed for toxic dosing.

This scientific double-speak gives some legal cover to the clinical trials that overdosed their patients.

According to Denise Hinton,

(https://www.fda.gov/media/138945/download)

RN, the FDA's Chief Scientist, or a clumsy FDA wordsmith: "Under the assumption that in vivo cellular accumulation is similar to that from the in vitro cell-based assays, the calculated free lung concentrations that would result from the EUA suggested dosing regimens are well below the in vitro EC50/EC90 values, making the antiviral effect against SARS-CoV-2 not likely achievable with the dosing regimens recommended in the EUA.

The substantial increase in dosing that would be needed to increase the likelihood of an antiviral effect would not be acceptable due to toxicity concerns.

13.

TOXIC DOSES ARE NEEDED - REALLY!?!

You have a WHO report

(https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/informal-consultation-on-the-dose-of-chloroquine-and-hydroxychloroquine-for-the-solidarity-clinical-trial---8-april-2020)

claim toxic doses are needed.

This of course is nonsense, since

a) CDC researchers showed strong effects against SARS-1 at safely achievable concentrations

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1232869/),

b) the drug at normal doses is being tested in over 30 different medical conditions

(https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/04/covid-19-clinical-trials-databases-that.html)

(see clinicaltrials.gov

(http://clinicaltrials.gov/)),

And

c) reports from many different countries say that the drug is effective for COVID-19 at normal doses, while a high dose chloroquine treatment arm was halted in Brazil and

(https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/12/health/chloroquine-coronavirus-trump.html)

a preprint of the study was posted April 11

(https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/12/health/chloroquine-coronavirus-trump.html),

after finding the toxic effects were causing ventricular arrhythmias and deaths.

Toxicity was noted after only 3 days of treatment, during which 3.6 grams of chloroquine were administered. But the Solidarity Trial (3.2 grams of hydroxychloroquine in 3 days), Recovery Trial (3.6 grams of hydroxychloroquine in 3 days) and REMAP-COVID trials (3.6 grams of hydroxychloroquine in 3 days) continued overdosing patients until June, despite Brazil's evidence of deaths by overdose!!!

Tellingly, JAMA editor Gordon Rubenfeld wrote:

(https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2765499 about the Brazilian study,

"if you are prescribing HCQ after these JAMA results, do yourself and your defense lawyer a favor.

Document in your medical record that you informed the patient of the potential risks of HCQ including sudden death and its benefits (???)."

14.

FINANCIAL CONNECTIONS WILL HELP DETERMINE OUTCOMES

You create an NIH Guideline committee for COVID treatment recommendations, in which 16 members have or had financial entanglements with Gilead

(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zB- SV-y11Y),

the maker of drug Remdesivir.

The members were appointed by the Co-Chairs.

(https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/introduction/).

Two of the three Co-Chairs are themselves financially entangled with Gilead. Are you surprised that their guidelines (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/whats-new/) recommend specifically against the use of hydroxychloroquine and in favor of Remdesivir, and that they deem this the new "standard of care"?

15.

SCARE CAMPAIGN LAUNCHED ON DOCTORS

You frighten doctors - so they don't prescribe hydroxychloroquine, if prescribing it is even allowed in their jurisdiction, because prescribing outside the "standard of care" leaves them open to malpractice lawsuits.

You further tell them (through the FDA

(https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or)

they need to monitor a variety of lab parameters and EKG when using the drug, although this was never advised before, which makes it very difficult to use the drug in outpatients. You have the European Medicines Agency issue similar warnings.

(https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/covid-19-reminder-risks-chloroquine-hydroxychloroquine) .

16.

TAKE CONTROL OF TRIALS BY DESIGNING THEM - SIMPLES!

You manage to control the conduct of most trials around the world by designing the WHO-managed Solidarity trials

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinicaltrial-for-covid-19-treatments), currently conducted in 35 countries. WHO halted hydroxychloroquine clinical trials around the world, twice!

The first time

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-hydroxychloroquine-and-covid-19),

May 25, WHO claimed

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-hydroxychloroquine-and-covid-19)

it was in response to the (fraudulent) Lancet study.

The second time

(https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments),

June 17, WHO claimed the stop was in response to the Recovery trial results.

(https://www.recoverytrial.net/files/recovery-protocol-v6-0-2020-05-14.pdf).

The Recovery Trial used highly toxic doses of hydroxychloroquine in over 1500 patients, of whom 396 died [adjusted since to 421].

You stop the trial before the data safety monitoring board has looked at your data

(hydroxychloroquine-trial-under-review-world-health-organization-concern-over-safety),

a move that is unlikely to be consistent with trial protocol. WHO's trial in over 400 hospitals overdosed patients with 2.0 g hydroxychloroquine in the first 24 hours.

(https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/06/who-trial-using-potentially-fatal.html).

WHO's trial in over 400 hospitals was unlikely to provide useful results, as it too overdosed patients with hydroxychloroquine. The trial was halted days after the toxic doses were exposed.

17.

GET No1 PROMOTER OF VACCINES PRESSURES GOV VIA THE WHO

You have the WHO pressure governments

(https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-indonesia-chloroqu/exclusive-indonesia-major-advocate-of-hydroxychloroquine-told-by-who-to-stop-using-it-idUSKBN23227L)

to stop doctors prescribing hydroxychloroquine.

18.

SAME PROMOTER SAME PRESSURE BUT NOW ON DOCTORS ALSO

You have the WHO pressure professional societies

(https://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2020/05/27/indonesia-major-advocate-of-hydroxychloroquine-told-by-who-to-stop-using-it.html) to stop doctors prescribing hydroxychloroquine.

TWIST THE OFFICIAL GUIDANCE TO GET YOUR EFFECT ADOPTED

You make sure that the most-consulted medical encyclopedia, UptoDate, provides bad guidance to physicians, advising them to restrict hydroxychloroquine to only patients in clinical trials

(https://www.uptodate.com/contents/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-management-in-hospitalized-adults)

citing the above sources of information.

20. TONY FAUCI DUMPS INTEGRITY TO FAVOUR HIS AGENDA

You have the head of the Coronavirus Task Force, Dr Tony Fauci, insist the drug cannot be used in the absence of strong evidence (https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/04/the-avuncular-dr-fauci-fluent-yet.html)

...while he insisted exactly the opposite in the case of the MERS coronavirus outbreak several years ago, when he recommended an untested drug combination for use

(https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/04/fauci-hypocrite-do-niaid-royalties.html)

...which had been developed for that purpose by his agency. And while he was bemoaning the lack of evidence, he was refusing to pay for trials to study (https://www.cnn.com/2020/03/28/health/coronavirus-hydroxychloroquine-trial/index.html) hydroxychloroquine.

And he was changing the goalposts on the Remdesivir trial, not once but twice

(https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/05/faking-results-faucis-niaid-paid.html),

to make Remdesivir show just a tiny bit of benefit, but no mortality benefit. And don't forget, Fauci was thrilled to sponsor a trial of a Covid vaccine in humans before there was any data from animal trials (https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-events/nih-clinical-trial-investigational-vaccine-covid-19-begins).

So much for requiring high quality evidence before risking use of drugs and vaccines in humans!

21. LIFE WILL ONLY BE NORMAL ONCE 7Bn PEOPLE HAVE THE JAB You convince the public that the crisis will be long-lasting.

You have the 2nd richest man in the world, and biggest funder of the WHO, Bill Gates, keep repeating to the media megaphone that we cannot go back to normal until there is a vaccine. (The Gates Foundation helped design the WHO clinical trials, and Gates is heavily invested in pharmaceuticals)

You have CDC (with help from FDA) prevent the purchase of coronavirus test kits

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2020/03/16/cdc-who-coronavirus-tests/)

from Germany, China, WHO, etc, and fail to produce a valid test kit themselves. The result was that during January and February, US cases could not be reliably identified, and for several months thereafter insufficient and unreliable test kits made it impossible to track the epidemic and stop the spread.

22. UNDER PLAY THE RISK ONLY TO WIND UP A REACTION LATER

You have trusted medical spokesmen lie to the public about the pandemic's severity, so precautions weren't taken when they might have been more effective and less long-lasting.

Congress was repeatedly briefed about the pandemic in January and February

(https://www.politico.com/news/2020/03/30/how-coronavirus-shook-congress-complacency-155058),

which scared several Congress members enough that they sold off large amounts of stock

(https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2020/03/16/cdc-who-coronavirus-tests/),

risking insider trading charges. Senator Burr is one of them, currently under investigation

(https://www.npr.org/2020/04/16/836126532/senator-burrs-pre-pandemic-stock-sell-offs-highly-unusual-analysis-shows)

for major stock sales on February 13. Yet Dr Fauci told

(https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/health/2020/02/17/nih-disease-official-anthony-fauci-risk-of-coronavirus-in-u-s-is-minuscule-skip-mask-and-wash-hands/4787209002/)

USA Today on February 17 that Americans should worry more about the flu than about coronavirus, the danger of which was "just miniscule."

Then on February 28, Drs Fauci and Robert Redfield (CDC Director) wrote (https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMe2002387) in the New England Journal:

"...the overall clinical consequences of COVID-19 may ultimately be more akin to those of a severe seasonal influenza (which has a case fatality rate of approximately 0.1%) or a pandemic influenza (similar to those in 1957 and 1968) rather than a disease similar to SARS or MERS, which have had case fatality rates of 9 to 10% and 36%, respectively."

23. DESTORYING REPUTATIONS IS NO RECIPE FOR SUCCESS

You destroy the reputation of respected physicians who stand in your way.

Professor Didier Raoult and his team in Marseille have used hydroxychloroquine on over 4,000 patients, reporting a mortality rate of

about 0.8%. (The mortality rate of patients given hydroxychloroquine in the Recovery trial was 25.7%.)

Raoult is very famous for discovering over 100 different microorganisms, and finding the long-sought cause of Whipple's Disease. With this reputation, Raoult apparently thought he could treat patients as he saw fit, which he has done, under great duress. Raoult was featured in a New York Times Magazine article, with his photo on the cover, May 12, 2020. After describing his accomplishments, the Times very unfavorably discussed his personality, producing a detailed hit piece. He is now considered an unreliable crank in the US.

24. SHUT OFF CRITICISM TO GET YOUR POINT ACROSS

You have social media platforms ban content that does not agree with the desired narrative.

As YouTube CEO and ex-wife of Google founder Sergey Brin, Susan Wojcicki said,

(https://fee.org/articles/youtube-to-ban-content-that-contradicts-who-on-covid-19-despite-the-un-agency-s-catastrophic-track-record-of-misinformation)

"YouTube will ban any content containing medical advice that contradicts World Health Organisation (WHO) coronavirus recommendations.

Anything that would go against World Health Organisation recommendations would be a violation of our policy."

25. MIXED MESSAGES WILL UNDERMINE THE ARGUMENT

When your clinical trials are criticized for overdosing patients, you have Oxford-affiliated, Wellcome Trust-supported scientists at Mahidol University publish papers (a literature review with modeling (https://www.tropmedres.ac/news/covid-19-prevention-and-treatment-a-critical-analysis-of-chloroquine-and-hydroxychloroquine-clinical-pharmacology)

and a modelling Study

(https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.24.20078303v2) purporting to show that the doses used were not toxic. You develop a new method

(https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.23.20137992v1.full.pdf)

to measure hydroxychloroquine in a handful of Recovery patients who were not poisoned. However, there are 2 problems you forgot with this approach:

The Brazilian data, including 16 deaths, extensive clinical information and documented ventricular arrhythmias, are much more valuable than theoretical models of what might be happening in the body. Either the drug is too toxic to use for a life-threatening disease, or even

extremely high doses are safe. You can't have it both ways.

Oxford is the institution running the Recovery trial, and invented a Covid vaccine that already has 400 million doses on order (https://www.astrazeneca.com/media-centre/press-releases/2020/astrazeneca-to-supply-europe-with-up-to-400-million-doses-of-oxford-universitys-vaccine-at-no-profit.html) .

The Wellcome Trust funded the Recovery trial.

26.

You change your trial's primary outcome measures after the trials have started, in order to prevent detection of drug-induced deaths (Recovery Trial) (http://www.francesoir.fr/politique-monde/oxford-recovery-et-solidarity-overdosage-two-clinical-trials-acts-considered) or to make your drug appear to have efficacy (NIAID Remdesivir Trial) (https://anthraxvaccine.blogspot.com/2020/05/faking-results-faucis-niaid-paid.html).

27. PREVENT SUPPLY OF A LIFE-SAVING DRUG TO KILL COMPETITION You stop manufacturers from supplying the drug.

Shortly after the fraudulent Lancet paper came out, Sanofi announced (hydroxychloroquine-trials-idUSKBN2352IH) it would no longer supply the drug for use with Covid and would halt its two hydroxychloroquine clinical trials.

One of the cancelled Sanofi trials was expected to test 210 outpatients early in the course of disease. The trial remains suspended at the time of writing, while the Lancet paper was retracted 13 days after publication. (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04333654? term=sanofi&cond=Covid-19&cntry=US&draw=2&rank=1)

28.

A POSITIVE RESULT REALLY WOULD UPSET THE PLAN

You surely don't want a trial of hydroxychloroquine treatment early in the disease, since it might show an excellent effect.

Alliance for Human Research Protection All rights reserved to AHRP 2020

https://ahrp.org/how-a-false-hydroxychloroquine-narrative-was-created/

ADDITIONAL LATE ENTRIES

A29

THE RECOVERY TRIAL RECEIVED FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The Trial was funded by the below mentioned bodies, including the worlds No1 advocate of a vaccine solution to the COVID pandemic – The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

This trial is supported by a grant to the University of Oxford from UK Research and Innovation/National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and by core funding provided by NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Wellcome, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Department for International Development, Health Data Research UK, the Medical Research Council Population Health Research Unit, and NIHR Clinical Trials Unit Support Funding.

(https://www.recoverytrial.net/)

A30

THE PRINCIPLE TRIAL IS THE 2ND UK BASED TRIAL USING HCQ

It was announced in week 18 by Health Secretary, Matt Hancock, that this trial will study patients – over the age of 65yrs and those between 50 and under 64yrs but with other health conditions – presenting with COVID-19 symptoms. It started in March 20 and is due to run for 12mths ending in March 21. To date – more than 7mths into the trial – NO results have been published in the public domain. This is rather strange when one might imagine that over half-way in, some prelim results would be available – particularly given the relevance and importance of the study. The only communications seen emanating from the trial – which went to GPs – called for more volunteer patients to participate. (https://www.principletrial.org)

A31

THERE IS NO MONEY TO BE MADE IN HCQ

With several highly profitable treatments and vaccines currently being rushed through trials, it's essential to those with specific interests to suppress the 65yr-old harmless panacea that is hydroxychloroquine.

The likes of Dr Fauci (Director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases since 1984 and a prominent leader during the novel coronavirus pandemic) have made it quite clear they want the costly Remdesivir (a failed and repurposed Ebola medication), used as a treatment, despite a 2005 study proving that Fauci is fully aware of the efficacy of HCQ on corona virus', and as well for the world to stay sick and terrified long enough to start distributing highly questionable and largely

untested vaccines, such as Moderna's mRNA-1273 vaccine without too much resistance from the public.

https://carter-heavy-industries.com/2020/08/16/hydroxychloroquine-banned-why/

A32

YOU HAVE GOVERNMENTS BAN USE OF THE LIFE-SAVING DRUG

In early April 2020, the Queensland administration introduces an effective ban on doctors using HCQ to treat their patients with COVID-19 symptoms, with threat of a penalty in the form of a \$13,000 fine. A 6mth prison sentence is also a possibility.

https://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/national/queensland/doctors-banned-from-prescribing-potential-covid-19-drug-20200408-p54ic1.html

Australian politician and lone soldier, Craig Kelly, speaks out against his government's banning of HCQ as a treatment for patients used by their doctors.

https://operationdisclosure1.blogspot.com/2020/09/sky-news-australia-if-doctors-were.html

A33 COVID DEATHS 75% LOWER IN NATIONS USING HCQ

Extraordinarily, with evidence staring governments in the face, including in the UK, Australia and America, a campaign to discredit use of HCQ is waged.

https://principia-scientific.com/covid-deaths-75percent-lower-in-nations-using-hcg/

GLOSSARY				
AHRP	Alliance for Human Research Protection			
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention			
EKG	Electrocardiogram – also known as an ECG			
EUA	Emergency Use Authorization			
FDA	US Food and Drug Administration			
HCQ	Hydroxychloroquine			
JAMA	The Journal of the American Medical Association			
NIH	National Institutes of Health			
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research			
UN	United Nations			
WHO	World Health Organisation			