

f
ALTERNATIVE NEWS

Landmark FDA Paper On Aluminum Safety In Vaccines Found To Have A Critical Math Error



Published 3 weeks ago on September 9, 2020
By Arjun Walia





The Facts: A group of scientists and physicians known as The Physicians For Informed Consent (PIC) have discovered a crucial math error in a FDA paper regarding the safety of aluminum in vaccines.

Reflect On: Why have there been no studies by regulatory agencies in conjunction with independent scientists to see where vaccine ingredients travel to in the body after they are injected? Why no appropriate safety tests for the aluminum vaccine adjuvant?

What Happened: The Physicians For Informed Consent (PIC) outline that the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), which is a division of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) have already raised concerns about the negative effects of aluminum exposure in humans.

They state the following:

--> High Quality CBD *Our friends at PuraThrive worked with industry experts to create one of the most bioavailable CBD extracts possible. Get yours today before it runs out. [Click here to learn more.](#)*

Because some vaccines contain aluminum, the FDA published a paper in 2011 ([Mitkus et al.](#)) to address concerns about aluminum exposure from vaccines in infants. The paper compared the aluminum exposure from vaccines in infants to a safety limit of oral aluminum determined by the ATSDR. However, this study incorrectly based its calculations on

From the Web




Tetbury - The New £250 Investment That Makes P Rich

times more aluminum can safely enter the bloodstream, and this led authors to incorrectly conclude that aluminum exposure from vaccine is well below the safety limit.



You can read their “Erratum in “Updated aluminum pharmacokinetics following infant exposures through diet and vaccination” [here](#).

The Physicians for Informed Consent (PIC) are a group of doctors and scientists from around the world who have come together to support informed decision-making when it comes to mandatory vaccine measures. Their information is based on science. Their mission is to deliver data on infectious diseases and vaccine safety to unite doctors, scientists, healthcare professionals, attorneys, and families to support voluntary vaccinations. Their vision is that doctors and the public can objectively evaluate the data on infectious diseases and vaccines and engage in informed decision-making about vaccination.

[According to](#) Dr, Christopher Shaw, a member of PIC and a professor at the University of British Columbia who has performed numerous studies on the safety of injected aluminum, 

From the Web



Tetbury - Don't Buy CBD Oil Until You Read This (Surprising)

Health Reports

Ad

▲ advertisement - [learn more](#)

*"We knew that the Mitkus et al. paper modeling aluminum clearance h
be inaccurate since it was assuming that injected aluminum kinetics
the same as the kinetics of aluminum acquired through diet. No
addition, we see that they did their modeling based on using the incc
level of aluminum absorption. What is particularly striking is that desp
these errors since 2017. Mitkus et al. is used by CDC and other entities*

who are interested in the safety of the quantities of injected aluminum childhood vaccines and would be in a position to further research the concern.”



When you inject aluminum, it goes into a different compartment of body. It doesn't come into that same mechanism of excretion. So, of course it can't be excreted because that's the whole idea of aluminum adjuvants. Aluminum adjuvants are meant to stick around and allow that antigen to be presented over and over and over again persistently, otherwise you wouldn't put an adjuvant in in the first place. It can't be inert, because if it were inert it couldn't do the things it does. It can't be excreted because again it couldn't provide that prolonged exposure of the antigen to your immune system.
Shaw

The PIC has released a [PDF called Aluminum Vaccine Risk Statement with more information. You can access it \[here\]\(#\).](#)

Education: Aluminum Vaccine Risk Statement (VRS)

Aluminum in Vaccines: What Parents Need To Know

DOWNLOAD/PRINT PDF

Why This Is Important: The idea that it's safe to inject children with aluminum-containing vaccines is based on presumption. No appropriate safety studies show this is the case, which is why a group of scientists from multiple countries recently [published a paper](#) in the *Journal of Trace Elements in Medical Biology* titled "The role of aluminum adjuvants in vaccines raises issues that require independent, rigorous and honest science" state the following,



prevalence of autism spectrum disorders, of 1 child in 54 in the USA.”



The publication goes on to address concerns it has with [another paper](#) published a year prior, emphasizing that the authors of that specific public Goullé & L Grangeot-Keros,



Described general knowledge on aluminum (Al) exposure, kinetics toxicity but made very little effort to delineate the scientific ques specifically related to Al adjuvants in vaccines. Instead of representing bulk of their review, the subject of Al adjuvants covered no more than third of the 3 page-text. Numerous important papers on the topic omitted, i.e. 20 years of scientific publications in clinical, post-mortem vitro and in vivo experimental studies published by independent res teams, worldwide experts in this topic, were simply omitted.

STAY AWARE



SUBSCRIBE TO OUR NEWSLETTER

SUBSCRIBE

In 2018, shaw published a paper in the Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry th almost 100 percent of the intramuscularly injected aluminum in mice as adjuvants was absorbed into the systemic circulation and traveled to differ in the body such as the brain, the joints, and the spleen where it accumul was retained for years post-vaccination. ([source](#))



brain where they were still detected one year after injection. Both fluorescent materials injected into muscle translocated to draining lymph nodes (DLN) and thereafter were detected associated with phagocytes in blood and spleen. Particles linearly accumulated in the brain up to the six-month endpoint; they were first found in perivascular CD11b+ cells and then in microglia and other neural cells. DLN ablation dramatically reduced the biodistribution. Cerebral translocation was not observed after intravenous injection, but significantly increased in mice with chronically altered blood-brain-barrier. Loss/gain-of-function experiments consistently implicated CCL2 in systemic diffusion of Al-Rho particles captured by monocyte-lineage cells and in their subsequent neurodelivery. Stereotaxic particle injection pointed out brain retention as a factor of progressive particle accumulation...

The study went on to conclude that “continuously escalating doses of the biodegradable adjuvant in the population may become insidiously unsafe.”

These authors followed up and published a [study](#) in 2015 that emphasizes

Evidence that aluminum-coated particles phagocytosed in the injection muscle and its draining lymph nodes can disseminate within phagocytes throughout the body and slowly accumulate in the brain further suggest that alum safety should be evaluated in the long term.

A paper [published in 2018](#) discovered high amounts of aluminum in the brains of people with autism. [That particular paper has now been downloaded more than 1 million times.](#)

The particular paper cited above was published by [Dr. Christopher Exley](#).

Exley was also one of the authors on the main paper cited at the beginning of this article. [Here](#) is a very interesting interview with him if you're interested.

The point is, this topic is hot right now, as it should be, and it seems that our health regulatory agencies continue to ignore the concerns being made in the studies being published.



[Here](#) is an important clip from Dr. Larry Palevsky, a board-certified Paed currently practicing in New York talking about aluminum and how it is used as an adjuvant form.



According to a [study published](#) as far back as 2011 in *Current Medical Chem*

Aluminum is an experimentally demonstrated neurotoxin and the commonly used vaccine adjuvant. Despite almost 90 years of widespread use of aluminum adjuvants, medical science's understanding about mechanisms of action is still remarkably poor. There is also a concerning scarcity of data on toxicology and pharmacokinetics of these compounds. In spite of this, the notion that aluminum in vaccines is safe appears to be widely accepted. Experimental research, however, clearly shows that aluminum adjuvants have a potential to induce serious immune disorders in humans. [\(source\)](#)

The Takeaway: When it comes to vaccine safety, why does mainstream media constantly point fingers and call those who have concerns “anti-vax conspiracy theorists?” Why don’t they ever address the science and concerns being raised about vaccines in a light that they’ve never been painted in? What’s going on? Why are the safety concerns addressed by many scientists and doctors ignored and never addressed/countered? Would more rigorous safety testing of vaccines not be in the best interests of everybody? Who would ever oppose and why?

Become Part of CE's Inner Circle