

Vaccines for a virus which has never been identified - This is NOT science


by Frances Leader

For the author's bio, please go to the last page

6 December 2020

References: [Andrew Kaufman video](#)

[David & Dawn video](#)




Is this a virus or an exosome?


The war between the followers of Pasteur & Bechamp may be the most important issue in the world today & yet it is barely

understood by the general public.

Pasteur favoured Germ Theory & Bechamp advocated for Terrain Theory.



Antoine Béchamp



Louis Pasteur

In spite of confessing that he had poisoned his victims to achieve his results, Pasteur's theory was adopted by the Rockefeller Institute & their developing medical schools because it afforded them the opportunity to develop & profit from pharmaceuticals derived from the waste products of the oil & gas industries.

Naturopaths & hygienists favoured the Terrain Theory which recommended scrupulous cleanliness & good nutrition to defeat disease.

<http://maronewellness.com/pasteur-vs-bechamp-an-alternative-view-of-infectious-disease/>

Both systems worked to eradicate diseases.

Dr Andy Kaufman created a video presentation which carefully explains the virus vs exosome debate [here](#):

It is December of 2020, the end of the worst, most stressful year in my living memory.

The UK is rushing to be the first country in the world to provide vaccines for coronavirus to its citizens.

My first point ought to be obvious but does not seem to have been discussed very much on main stream media.

The vaccine manufacturers do not claim to be producing a vaccine against SARSCov2 & its subsequent disease, COVID19.

Instead all the studies & government papers refer to a huge raft of Coronavirus vaccines.

CORONAVIRUS Vaccines

Source: Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy

- **100m doses** of University of Oxford/
AstraZeneca vaccine
- **40m doses** of BioNTech/Pfizer vaccine
- **7m doses** of Moderna vaccine
- **60m doses** of Novavax vaccine
- **60m doses** of Valneva vaccine
- **60m doses** of GSK/Sanofi Pasteur vaccine
- **30m doses** of Janssen vaccine

BBC NEWS

▪ Boris Johnson promises MPs another vote in January on tier restrictions



There are thousands of coronaviruses & they mutute constantly, we are told by virologists, so how can a vaccine to combat them have been produced in such a short space of time?

Concerned about this slip from specifying the illness we are locked down, masked & hand-washing to prevent I set out to find out as much as I could about the vaccines which we are expected to accept.

First I looked at the Oxford University AstraZeneca version & came up with a very interesting video:

I had previously (23rd November) addressed Oxford University via Twitter, with a very important question as follows:

Big-P-Harma's "virus genome" is a computer sequenced copy of a non-isolated, non-purified Wuhan sample "grown" in monkey kidney cells & anti-biotics which failed to meet Koch's postulates.

How can science attenuate a virus that has NEVER been correctly identified or verified?

I supported this question with my previous investigation into the existence of [#SARSCov2](#) here:

<https://hive.blog/news/@francesleader/no-one-has-proved-that-covid19-exists-a-collection-of-the-evidence>

Plus my doubts about the origin of the genomic sequence being used as the foundation for all vaccine development, supported by flaws found in the early Wuhan scientific study dated 20th February 2020:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7092803/>

This was the study used to computer generate the original [#SARSCov2](#) genome sequence.

Note that Vero E6 cells (referred to) are monkey kidney cells.

Quoting from the study:

"Virus isolation from the clinical specimens was performed with human airway epithelial cells and Vero E6 and Huh-7 cell lines" & in the conclusion: "Although our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates, our analyses provide evidence implicating 2019-nCoV in the Wuhan outbreak."

I had concluded that the material named SARSCov2 had not been adequately isolated or purified before being identified as the cause of COVID19. Furthermore, it had never been injected into any living being & caused the disease to confirm that it was indeed the pathogen being sought.

I was horrified to observe that all European studies had failed to isolate any viruses & were, instead, relying on matching materials to the computer generated genomic sequence from the original Chinese experiments.

I waited for the Oxford team to respond to my query, observing them happy to reply on Twitter to praise & thanks.

On December 4th I tweeted again, quoting my original question, but this time stating:

"Oh dear.

OxfordUniversity, [@UniofOxford](#) has not answered this critical question.

I can only conclude that they dare not admit they have created a protein spike genome from a computer model.
We seem beset by these unreliable models which have robbed the world of its freedom."

Meanwhile the UK Government had, via the Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), granted a temporary & emergency approval to Pfizer/BioNTech for their new vaccine to be administered to selected groups of UK citizens FROM THE FOLLOWING WEEK.

"INFORMATION FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONALS including:

Pharmaceutical formulation

Administration & delivery

Undesirable effects

Efficacy"

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/941452/Information_for_healthcare_professionals.pdf

and this:

UK Government Provisional guidance subject to MHRA approval of vaccine supply

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/941450/Greenbook_chapter_14a_v2.pdf

I was extremely alarmed, as you can imagine.

Could it be true that our authorities were permitting the vaccination of our most fragile demographic, ie the over 75s with a concoction based on a computer modelled genomic sequence derived from a flawed Chinese study?

Surely someone had questioned it?

It seemed not.

Pfizer had produced this document to elicit the MHRA's approval:

A STUDY TO EVALUATE THE SAFETY, TOLERABILITY, IMMUNOGENICITY & EFFICACY OF SARS-COV-2RNA VACCINE CANDIDATES AGAINST COVID-19 IN HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS

https://pfe-pfizercom-d8-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/2020-11/C4591001_Clinical_Protocol_Nov2020.pdf

The contents of which, over 140 pages, had been carefully queried in this article:

<https://therealslog.com/2020/12/03/exclusive-how-health-secretary-matt-hancock-misled-parliament-about-the-pfizer-vaccine/>

and highlighted here:

Just take that in before you roll your sleeves up.

The Pfizer covid vaccine is approved in the UK and begins next week. What Pfizer has NOT provided: The ingredients, The results data, An independently peer-reviewed study! To save you reading the 120-page study design, here's the summary:

- The vaccine has NOT been tested on children, pregnant women, people taking medications and individuals with comorbidities (Yet the plan is for all of the above to receive the vaccine)
- Pfizer doesn't plan to release the results data for independent scrutiny for another 2 years
- Pfizer did not assess vaccine reactions in all 43,000 participants (just a random subset of 8000)
- Pfizer only reported severe reactions that occurred in more than 2% (860 people). In other words, if 800 people suffered a severe immune reaction, they did not have to report it because it occurred in less than 2%!!
- Pfizer will not submit the study for peer-review until they've completed their analysis of safety data. Let that sink in – the vaccine is approved and they have not finished analysing the data!

I became more alarmed when I came across this document produced by the UK Medical Freedom Alliance:

<https://www.ukmedfreedom.org/resources/vaccine-documents>

They state "The UKMFA have produced a referenced consent form for use by doctors and their patients to aid the process of obtaining full informed consent before having a COVID-19 vaccine.

It outlines the relevant legal obligations and protections that must be addressed and also relevant medical information about COVID-19 vaccines that must be disclosed to, and discussed with, patients."

Finally, after much effort I was able to procure a brief outline of the ingredients in the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, but was dismayed to see that the mRNA factor was not better explained.

Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine

Suspension for Intramuscular Injection

195 Multiple Dose Vials

(after dilution each vial contains
5 doses of 0.3 mL)



INGREDIENTS AND APPEARANCE

PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE rna ingredient bnt-162b2 injection, suspension			
PRODUCT INFORMATION			
Product Type	HUMAN PRESCRIPTION DRUG	Item Code (Source)	NDC:59267-1000
Route of Administration	INTRAMUSCULAR		
RNA code that instructs cells to produce SARS CoV2 Spike Protein			
ACTIVE INGREDIENT/ACTIVE MOIETY			
Ingredient Name	Basis of Strength	Strength	
RNA ingredient BNT-162B2 (UNII: 5085ZFP6S) (RNA ingredient BNT-162B2 - UNII:5085ZFP6S)	RNA ingredient BNT-162B2	0.23 g in 1.8 mL	
INACTIVE INGREDIENTS			
Ingredient Name	Strength		
lipid ALC-0159 (UNII: PJH39UMU6H)	Lipid nanoparticles that carry and protect the mRNA from degradation		
lipid ALC-0315 (UNII: AVX8DX713V)			
POTASSIUM CHLORIDE (UNII: 660YQ98110)	Adjuvant used to antagonise the immune system into a sustained response		
MONOBASIC POTASSIUM PHOSPHATE (UNII: 4J9FJ0HL51)			
SODIUM CHLORIDE (UNII: 451W47IQ8X)	Ionic compounds to balance pH		
SODIUM PHOSPHATE, DIBASIC, UNSPECIFIED FORM (UNII: GR686LBA74)			
SUCROSE (UNII: C151H8M554)	Stabiliser used to prevent ingredient separation & sticking		

I realised that as no whole virus has been isolated, either by the above mentioned Chinese study or since by vaccine manufacturers, the only way that an mRNA (messenger RNA fragment) could be constructed would be by genetic modification from computer code.

Two concerns reared up in my mind:


1. How do they know whether this RNA fragment originated from a SARSCov2 virus or even if it exists if they have never successfully met Koch's postulates?
2. We have seen how computer code has trashed the global economy this year when every nation panicked after seeing Neil Ferguson's Imperial College predictions. Can we trust a computer generated genomic sequence as the basis for a vaccine?

Others were beginning to sound the alarm:

Head of Pfizer Research: Covid Vaccine is Female Sterilization

Health & Money News / December 2, 2020 / News

The vaccine contains a **spike protein (see image) called syncytin-1**, vital for the formation of human placenta in women. If the vaccine works so that we form an immune response AGAINST the spike protein, we are also **training the female body to attack syncytin-1**, which could lead to infertility in women of an unspecified duration.



- The vaccinations are expected to produce antibodies against spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2. However, spike proteins also contain **syncytin-homologous proteins**, which are essential for the formation of the placenta in mammals such as humans. It must be absolutely ruled out that a vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 could trigger an immune reaction against syncytin-1, as otherwise **infertility of indefinite duration could result in vaccinated women**.
- The mRNA vaccines from BioNTech/Pfizer contain **polyethylene glycol (PEG)**. 70% of people develop antibodies against this substance – this means that many people can develop allergic, potentially fatal reactions to the vaccination.
- The much too short duration of the study does **not allow a realistic estimation of the late effects**.
Nevertheless, BioNTech/Pfizer apparently submitted an application for emergency approval on December 1, 2020.

Then a group of medical professionals found no less than 10 flaws in Drosten's paper, crucial to the European trials.

Read the wording carefully & note particularly the phrase "without having virus material available"

Come on, Oxford University, even you must admit that this is not science!

Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR

Victor M Corman ¹, Olfert Landt ², Marco Kaiser ³, Richard Molenkamp ⁴, Adam Meijer ⁵, Daniel Kw Chu ⁶, Tobias Bleicker ¹, Sebastian Brünink ¹, Julia Schneider ¹, Marie Luisa Schmidt ¹, Daphne Gjc Mulders ⁴, Bart L Haagmans ⁴, Bas van der Veer ⁵, Sharon van den Brink ⁵, Lisa Wijsman ⁵, Gabriel Goderski ⁵, Jean-Louis Romette ⁷, Joanna Ellis ⁸, Maria Zambon ⁸, Malik Peiris ⁶, Herman Goossens ⁹, Chantal Reusken ⁵, Marion Pg Koopmans ⁴, Christian Drosten ¹

Affiliations [+ expand](#)

PMID: 31992387 PMID: PMC6988269 DOI: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045

[Free PMC article](#)

Abstract

Background: The ongoing outbreak of the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) poses a challenge for public health laboratories as virus isolates are unavailable while there is growing evidence that the outbreak is more widespread than initially thought, and international spread through travellers does already occur.

Aim: We aimed to develop and deploy robust diagnostic methodology for use in public health laboratory settings without having virus material available.

Methods: Here we present a validated diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV, its design relying on close genetic relatedness of 2019-nCoV with SARS coronavirus, making use of synthetic nucleic acid technology.

Old concerns began to prick my memory:

Nano metallic particles were found in all vaccines examined by this enterprising couple who set up an independent lab in Italy in 2017.

They were raided by police & all equipment confiscated.

Read the article here:

<https://www.shifffrequency.com/metallic-nano-particles-found-in-vaccines/>

Further details here:

<https://www.shifffrequency.com/contaminated-vaccine-epidemic/>

Why would undisclosed nano-particles of a wide range of metals be found in ALL vaccines?

Why were the discoverers closed down?

What happened to them?

With so many unanswered questions I can only close this article with the promise that I will continue to research & investigate.

Our lives depend on us reaching the truth.

I was interviewed about my views by Tony Gosling & he did his very best to gatekeep me away from stating my truth:

As an afterthought, consider the research that Alex Jones presents here:

<https://rumble.com/vbmhv8-banned-video-the-lawsuit-against-covid19-vaccine.html>

Postscript:

This video is a great comfort - [David & Dawn tell us what really makes us ill.](#)

Frances Leader : Biography

If you can help to answer my questions you can find me on Twitter at:

<https://twitter.com/2013Boodicca>

Or on Facebook at:

<https://www.facebook.com/franceselaineleader/>

Or by email:

franleader@hotmail.co.uk